

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/903,983 07/12/2001 | | Stephen J. Myers | DP-305003/ DEP-0210 | 9424 |
| 22851 | 7590 07/17/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. M/C 480-410-202 PO BOX 5052 TROY, MI 48007 | | | HANDAL, KAITY V | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1764 | <u>-</u> |

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - |
|---|--|---|---|
| | 09/903,983 | MYERS ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | - |
| | Kaity Handal | 1764 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON | N. imely filed not be mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 S | eptember 2005. | | |
| | s action is non-final. | • | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | nce except for formal matters, pr | osecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application | on. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | • | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are rejected. | | • | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | · | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | · | |
| Application Papers | , | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | • | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 September 2005</u> is/s | | cted to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | • | • | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawing(s) is of | ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | • |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | • • | <u></u> | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio | • | ed in this National Stage | |
| application from the International Burea | • | ad | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receiv | ea. | |
| | • | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summar | v (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail E | Date | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| Patent and Trademad Office | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/903,983

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on 9/21/2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 21-26, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kruger (EP 992,659 corresponding to US 6,555,570).

With respect to claim 21, Kruger discloses an exhaust system for an internal combustion engine comprising: a shell having an outer wall and an inner wall, wherein the shell forms a bushing 59 defining an opening through and connects the outer wall and the inner wall; and an oxygen sensor 60 disposed through the bushing 59 such that a portion of the oxygen sensor 60 extends into an interior portion of the shell (see, for example, Fig. 2).

With respect to claim 22, Kruger discloses that the bushing 59 has a tlat surface on an end opposite the inner wall (see, for example, Fig. 2).

With respect to claim 23 and 30, Kruger discloses provision of insulation disposed between the outer wall and the inner wall and in physical contact with the bushing 59 (see, for example, Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 1764

With respect to claim 24, Kruger discloses that the bushing 59 is in the rounded portion of the shell (see, for example, Fig. 2).

With respect to claim 25, Kruger discloses that the shell is a double walled endcone (see, for example, Fig. 2).

With respect to claim 26, Kruger discloses that threads are form in the bushing (see, for example, col. 9, lines 1-2 and Fig. 2).

Instant claims 21-26, 30 structurally read on the apparatus of Kruger.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kruger (EP 992,659 corresponding to US 6,555,570) in view of Matsushima (5,6 15,551).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,983

Art Unit: 1764

The apparatus of Kruger is substantially the same œq that of the instant claims, but is silent as to whether the oxygen sensor may be located at the end-cone and at a specific angle as claimed.

However, Matsushima discloses provision of an oxygen sensor 36 being positioned in the endcone of the shell of catalytic converter unit and extending through said bushing 34 (Figs. 2- 5), wherein said oxygen sensor 36 is positioned within said catalytic converter component at an angle less than 90 degrees to the centerline of the catalytic converter component (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 1-12).

However, at the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to place the oxygen sensor of Kruger at the endcone or at a specific angel as taught by Matsusbima since positioning the parts of the apparatus is no more than a design choice, and well within the knowledge of one skilled in the art as evidenced by Malushima and since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

5. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kruger (EP 992,659 - corresponding to US 6,555,570) in view of Nishio et al (4,883,643).

The apparatus of Kruger is substantially the same as that of the instant claim, but fails to disclose provision of a gasket.

However, Nishio et al discloses provision of a gasket 16 for preventing leakage of the exhaust gas from between the sensor and the wall. Art Unit: 1764

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a gasket as taught by Nishio et al in the apparatus of Kruger for preventing leakage of the exhaust gas from between the sensor and the wall.

6. Claims 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kruger (EP 992,659 - corresponding to US 6,555,570) in view of Matsushima (5,615,551) and Nishio et al (4,883,643).

The same comments with respect to Matsushima and Nishio et al apply.

7. Claims 21-28, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushima (5,615,551) in view of Kruger (EP 992,659 - corresponding to US 6,555,570).

With respect to claims 21, 23, 25, 27-28, 30, Matsushima discloses an exhaust system for an internal combustion engine comprising:

a catalytic converter unit 10;

a bushing 34 provided in a shell wall of end cone of said catalytic converter unit; and an oxygen sensor 36 positioned within exhaust flow of the catalytic converter unit and extending through said bushing 34 (Figs. 2-5), wherein said oxygen sensor is positioned in said endcone at an angle less than 90 degrees to the centerline of the catalytic converter unit (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 1-12).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,983

Art Unit: 1764

The apparatus of Matsushima is substantially the same a: that of the instant claim but fails to disclose provision of a double walled shell/endcone with insulation therebetween.

However, Kruger discloses provision of a catalytic converter unit includes an insulated wall construction having an inner wall spaced from an outer wall.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide an insulated wall construction of Kruger in the apparatus of Matsushima since such insulated wall construction would provide a good thermal insulation as taught by Kruger.

With respect to claims 22, 26, Matsushima discloses that the bushing has threads 44 disposed through the shell wall (col. 4, lines 15-24, Figs. 3-4); and a substantially flat surface 50 (col. 2, lines 62-67, Figs. 3-4).

With respect to claim 24, Kruger discloses that the bushing 59 is in the rounded portion of the shell (see, for example, Fig. 2).

At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to place the oxygen sensor of Matsushima at the rounded portion of the shell as taught by Kruger since positioning the parts of the apparatus is no more than a design choice, and well within the knowledge of one skilled in the art as evidenced by Kruger and since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikçe, 86 USPQ 70.

Page 7

8. Claims 29, 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushima (5,615,551) in view of Kruger (EP 992,659 - corresponding to US 6,555,570) and Nishio et al (4,883,643).

The same comments with respect to Kruger and Nishio et al apply.

Response to Arguments

<u>Drawings</u>

Objection made to the drawings is withdrawn by the examiner due applicant's amendment.

Claim Objections

Objections made to the claims are withdrawn by the examiner due applicant's amendment.

35 USC 112 Rejection

Rejection made to the claims 21-32 under 35 USC 112 is withdrawn due applicant's arguments and amendments.

Prior Art

In response to applicant's argument that Kruger's bush 59 is welded to the shell rather than being formed by the shell. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant seems to be arguing the method of making the bushing rather than the structure itself. Figure 10 illustrates clearly that bush 259 is formed through the shell (col. 13, lines 15).

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections

Application/Control Number: 09/903,983 Page 8

Art Unit: 1764

are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaity Handal whose telephone number is (571) 272-8520. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KH AAT

6/29/2006

ALEXA DOROSHENK NECKEL PRIMARY EXAMINER